Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| 6D.01 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6D.01 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6D.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 6D.02, but recommends revisions to the criteria for flashing lights on the paddle in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 20A-RW-01. |
| 6D.03 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 6D.03, but recommends correcting the intent in the second Standard statement by relocating ‘retroreflectorized’ to the end of the sentence. |
| 6D.04 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6D.04 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 6D-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 6D-1 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6D.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6D.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6D.06 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends the following revisions to 6D.06:   * Reverting the first Guidance statement back to a Standard statement to reduce the likelihood of not considering stopping sight distance where there is a curve or some other sight restriction present. * Adding an Option statement to reference engineering judgment for flagger station location in some geometric conditions. |
| Table 6D-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Table 6D-1 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |